Evaluating the impacts of small impoundments on stream salamanders
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ABSTRACT

1. Large dams have been repeatedly implicated in declines of riverine species, but the impacts of small dams are largely understudied. The placement of small dams (< 5 m high) on headwater streams, their density, and lack of regulation suggests that these dams may also have significant adverse impacts on headwater biota.

2. The objective of this study was to determine whether small impoundments affect downstream salamander abundance and water quality. Salamanders were identified and counted from 10 paired catchments draining either a small impoundment (< 0.8 ha) or a free-flowing stream. A binomial mixture model was used to estimate abundance after accounting for incomplete detection.

3. Estimated abundance of larval Desmognathus conanti, Eurycea wilderae, and Pseudotriton ruber was 3.9, 19.6, and 9.8 times greater downstream of small impoundments than in unaltered streams. Iron concentrations and pH had positive effects on salamander abundance while conductivity was negatively associated with salamander abundance.

4. Increases in abundance may be due to increased hydrologic stability below dams, different geomorphology, and altered water quality. Despite their small size, small impoundments can have localized, downstream effects on water chemistry and species abundance and may create high quality habitat for some stream species in a heterogeneous landscape.
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INTRODUCTION

Despite the benefits of dams to surrounding human communities, human impacts on natural river flow regimes are one of the primary mechanisms responsible for biodiversity loss in fresh waters (Nilsson et al., 2005; Dudgeon et al., 2006). Dams physically prevent connectivity of upstream and downstream populations, and downstream habitats undergo significant alterations of physical, chemical, and biological characteristics (Poff et al., 1997; Bunn and Arthington, 2002). Specifically,
downstream habitats experience altered flow regimes including asynchronous floods, altered thermal regimes, and limited sediment transport creating scoured and incised channels (Ligon et al., 1995; Poff et al., 1997; Freeman et al., 2001). Reduced downstream transport of organic matter and alterations to the chemical composition of released water can also alter the food webs of downstream communities (Hall et al., 2000; Arthington et al., 2009). These conditions cause downstream declines in biodiversity of multiple taxa ranging from plants (Auble et al., 1994; Zhang et al., 2013) to invertebrates (Richter et al., 1997; Martinez et al., 2013) and vertebrates including fish (Lytle and Poff, 2004; Nilsson et al., 2005) and herpetofauna (Eskew et al., 2012; Hunt et al., 2013).

Amphibian responses to river regulation are poorly understood, yet amphibians represent a significant component of freshwater biodiversity and may drive important ecological processes (Covich et al., 2004; Davic and Welsh, 2004; Best and Welsh, 2014). Amphibian occupancy tends to increase with downstream distance from large dams suggesting that river regulation adversely affects habitat suitability (Eskew et al., 2012). Eskew et al. (2012) suggested that reduced magnitude floods that do not create floodplain wetlands may be one mechanism responsible for this pattern, but reduced magnitude floods may improve amphibian persistence below dams by allowing larval stages and eggs to remain in suitable aquatic habitat (Lind et al., 1996; Kupferberg et al., 2011). Conversely, aseasonal floods resulting from water releases may cause downstream displacement of multiple amphibian life stages (Lind et al., 1996).

Although the impacts of large dams (> 50 m high) are well known, the role of pervasive, small impoundments (< 5 m high) located on fishless headwater streams is poorly understood (Graf, 2005; Csiki and Rhodes, 2010). Small impoundments, which are common in rural and residential regions of the south-eastern United States, are not regulated and typically lack flow release management (Poff and Hart, 2002; Graf, 2005). Small impoundments are thought to have greater cumulative downstream effects than large dams because of their frequency (Kibler and Tullos, 2013). When these small dams are created out of earthen material, seepage through the dam will occur (Craft et al., 2008), and it is not well understood how discharge from earthen dams may differ from large impoundments. Because water seepage through earthen dams must travel through the clay used to construct the dam, water quality and sediment transport may differ below earthen dams compared with large concrete dams.

In the study area on the southern Cumberland Plateau USA, small impoundments are common (1.3 impoundments km$^{-2}$). Streams of the southern Cumberland Plateau are often ephemeral and flow from late autumn or early winter until early to mid-summer. Earthen dams were constructed in the study area during the 1950s and 1960s as a method for providing permanent water (Knoll et al., 2015). Dams were constructed with clay-rich soils from local borrow pits, and impoundments fill from seeps and rainfall. Water can flow downstream by either seeping through the dam or via overflow channels (Knoll et al., 2015). Because dams in this study were made of clay, they can affect water quality through interaction between negatively charged clay particles and aquatic cations that alter conductivity and pH (Chapin et al., 2002). Impoundments on the Cumberland Plateau cause leaching of iron from underlying sandstone layers (Barnden, 2005; Arnwine et al., 2006). When iron seeps through earthen dams, iron oxidizing bacteria cause iron deposition and form a deep orange-brown flocculant (Barnden, 2005; Arnwine et al., 2006). A previous survey found that sites downstream of dams had impaired macroinvertebrate communities, iron concentrations that exceeded criteria recommended by EPA for aquatic life (1 mg L$^{-1}$), elevated manganese concentrations, and greater variation in pH relative to unimpounded streams (Arnwine et al., 2006). Earlier studies suggest that high concentrations of iron (20 mg L$^{-1}$) can be lethal to anurans (Porter and Hakanson, 1976) and more acidic pH increases the damaging effects of metals on amphibians (Freda, 1991; Green and Peloquin, 2008; Schorr et al., 2013). Iron concentrations have been used to assess the adverse impacts of small impoundments on the Cumberland Plateau (Arnwine et al., 2006; Knoll et al., 2015), but impacts of environmentally relevant
iron concentrations on amphibian populations have not yet been determined.

The southern highlands of the eastern United States are recognized as a region of globally significant salamander diversity, but the primary focus of research has been on salamanders in the Appalachian mountains, leaving much of their ecology on the Cumberland Plateau unknown. The stream-dwelling salamander community of the southern Cumberland Plateau is composed primarily of *Desmognathus conanti* (spotted dusky salamander), *Eurycea wilderae* (Blue Ridge two-lined salamander), and *Pseudotriton ruber* (red salamander). *Desmognathus conanti* is a medium-sized, robust salamander with a short larval period (9 months, Petranka, 1998). *Eurycea wilderae* is a slender salamander with a 1–2 year larval period (Petranka, 1998). They are often found in heavily disturbed streams and may occupy warm, slow-moving water owing to their vascularized gills (Petranka, 1998). Eggs of *D. conanti* and *E. wilderae* are often laid under rocks and wood in the stream channel (Petranka, 1998). *Pseudotriton ruber* is a large and robust salamander with a 1.5–3.5 year larval period (Petranka, 1998; Cecala et al., 2009). Their larvae are often found in slow-moving water with dense leaf packs, but all life stages of this species can be found in subsurface stream reaches (Petranka, 1998). By studying these three species, it was possible to evaluate if species with different life histories responded differently to dams.

The present study investigated whether small impoundments adversely affect salamander abundance downstream, and whether changing abundance was linked to changes in water quality. Salamander abundance and water quality parameters were compared in streams with and without flow regulation. We hypothesized that salamander density would be: (1) lower downstream of dams compared with free-flowing control streams; and (2) negatively correlated with impaired water quality as measured by pH, conductivity, and dissolved iron concentrations. We also predicted that the least difference between control and dammed streams would be observed in *E. wilderae* because of their tolerance to disturbance and high rates of occupancy in other disturbed habitats.

### METHODS

#### Salamander data collection

First-order streams, defined using Strahler’s stream classification system, were selected such that all sample locations had shallow slopes and were located upstream of the landscape transition into steeply inclined coves. Ten pairs of catchments (N = 20 catchments) were selected on the southern Cumberland Plateau surrounding Sewanee, Tennessee in Franklin County (Table S1, Supplementary material). A paired approach investigating adjacent catchments with downstream confluences was used to reduce the likelihood of identifying erroneous treatment effects caused by the potential influence of spatial autocorrelation.

All impounded catchments on the 54 km² campuses of the University of the South and adjacent St. Andrew’s Sewanee School were selected for which appropriate unaltered paired catchments could be found. For each paired catchment, one catchment drained into a stream with a dam and the other catchment had an unaltered stream. At each dammed stream, two 20 m transects were designated: one immediately downstream of the dam and a second 50 m downstream of the upstream transect (Figure 1). For each undammed stream, transects at the control (free-flowing) stream were positioned within 10 m of the elevations of experimental streams (below dam). To detect salamanders, 20 min active searches of each transect were conducted and included searches of all areas of the stream and 0.5 m of the bank on both sides. Active surveys involved flipping cover objects and using both hands and nets to capture salamanders. Searches were distributed to ensure coverage of the entire transect. During each sampling event, the number of captures for each species and life stage was recorded. Surveys were repeated between three and six times per site from April to October, 2013. Although sampling events were irregularly spaced throughout the study period, all transects from both streams within a pair were sampled on the same day.

#### Water quality data collection

Conductivity and pH were measured in the field at the upstream edge of salamander transects using a
A calibrated, handheld Oakton 35-Series Multiparameter PCS Tester. Water samples were collected at the same location during each survey and stored in 10% nitric acid-washed 50 mL plastic centrifuge tubes. Water samples were acidified (by adding 1 mL of concentrated nitric acid to 50 mL of sample), filtered through a 0.45 μm nylon syringe filter, and stored at 4 °C. The ferrozine method (Stokey, 1970) was used to evaluate total dissolved iron from filtered water samples. After allowing 5 min for colour development, absorbance was measured at 562 nm with a Hach DR/2500 spectrophotometer. Standards (ranging from 0.05 to 3.1 mg L⁻¹) were prepared by dilution of a 1000 mg L⁻¹ certified iron reference solution (Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc.) and used to generate a calibration curve.

Data analysis
To evaluate how dams alter water quality while providing additional information about streams on the Cumberland Plateau, water quality variation was assessed between paired catchments and by treatment. The mean of all water samples taken from a site was used to avoid pseudoreplication (Hurlbert, 1984). Specifically, three ANOVAs were performed evaluating the effect of dam and catchment pair on mean values of total dissolved iron, conductivity, and pH.

Because salamanders are cryptic animals that spend much of their lives underground and their capture probabilities are often low (Petranka, 1998; Mazerolle et al., 2007; Cecala et al., 2013), abundance was analysed using a model that takes into account imperfect detection (Cecala et al., 2013). Specifically, a binomial mixture model (Royle, 2004) was used to estimate abundance from repeated counts of unmarked individuals at specific geographic locations with unknown population sizes (Royle and Nichols, 2003; Royle and Dorazio, 2008; Kéry and Schaub, 2012; Price et al., 2012). For this model, captures from 20 sites during the first three sampling occasions were used to maintain similar sampling effort among sites and to meet closure assumptions of the model (Kéry and Schaub, 2012). The first three samples at a site generally occurred within 2 weeks, which was assumed to meet the closure assumption. Counts were combined from upstream and downstream transects to represent counts for each stream. Furthermore, detections of adults were not included because they were infrequent. Capture probability models included a random intercept for treatment and covariates for day of sampling. Models for estimated abundance included treatment, and z-scored values for the three water quality parameters (total dissolved iron concentration, conductivity, and pH).

Abundance and capture parameters were evaluated for each species using Bayesian inference via Markov-chain Monte Carlo methods (MCMC) in WinBUGS Version 1.4 (Spiegelhalter et al., 2003) with data handling in R (R Development Core Team 2013; add-in library R2WinBUGS). Convergence of the posterior distribution was reached after 60 000 samples, which were discarded as the burn-in period (Kéry, 2008). Thereafter, 600 000 iterations thinned by a factor of three to reduce autocorrelation between iterations were used for inferences. Non-informative prior distributions were used for all the parameters ($\beta_\alpha \sim N (0, 10^2)$, $\alpha_\alpha \sim N (0, 1.6^2)$; Royle and Dorazio, 2008; Kéry and Schaub, 2012). Means, standard deviations, and the 95% Bayesian credible intervals of the marginal posterior distribution were used to evaluate the effect of dams on capture
probability and the effect of dams and water quality on abundance. An effect was considered to be biologically relevant if 75% or more of its distribution fell above or below zero.

RESULTS
Six different species were found represented by 766 captures including *E. wilderae* (N = 557; 72% downstream of impoundments), *P. ruber* (N = 150, 44% downstream of impoundments), and *D. conanti* (N = 39, 81% downstream of impoundments). Other species captured included *Plethodon glutinosus* (N = 1), *Plethodon dorsalis* (N = 5), and *Notophthalmus viridescens* (N = 14). Conductivity and pH were not significantly different between dam sites and control sites (F_{df=1,17} = 0.218, P = 0.647, F_{df=1,17} = 0.539, P = 0.243, respectively; Table 1); however, they did vary by paired catchment (F_{df=1,17} = 6.773, P = 0.020, F_{df=1,17} = 7.772, P = 0.013). Total dissolved iron concentrations were higher below dams (F_{df=1,17} = 7.083, P = 0.017; Table 1) and varied among paired catchments (F_{df=1,17} = 5.497, P = 0.032).

Capture probabilities for all three focal salamander species were consistently lower below dams (Table 2). Capture probabilities were lowest for *D. conanti* below dams and highest for *E. wilderae* in control streams (Table 2). Dams were positively correlated with the abundance of all three study species (Table 3). Specifically, *D. conanti*, *E. wilderae*, and *P. ruber* had abundances 3.9, 19.6, and 9.8 times higher, respectively, below dams than in free-flowing streams (Figure 2). Water quality parameters generally influenced salamander abundance (Table 3). pH was positively associated with salamander abundance for all three species (Table 3). *Desmognathus conanti* abundance was not influenced by total dissolved iron or conductivity, but *E. wilderae* and *P. ruber* abundances were negatively associated with conductivity and positively associated with total dissolved iron (Table 3). Dam presence had 1.43-4.68 times greater influence on abundance than water quality.

DISCUSSION
Contrary to our hypothesis based on the findings of studies at large dams (Dudgeon *et al*., 2006), stream salamander abundance was not adversely affected by small dams; dams positively affected abundance of each of the three study species. Likewise, water quality parameters were associated with salamander abundance, but they had smaller effects on salamander abundance than the presence of a dam. Generally, salamanders were positively associated with more neutral pH, and *E. wilderae* and *P. ruber* were found at higher abundances in catchments with lower conductivity and higher total dissolved iron.

Small impoundments alter stream habitats in a variety of ways that may increase salamander abundance. First, dams may create a more stable hydrologic regime (Poff *et al*., 1997). Seepage and spillway flow from earthen dams contribute a high

---

### Table 1. Water quality parameters (standard error) between unaltered and dammed streams on the Cumberland Plateau

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Control</th>
<th>Dam</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>Range</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total dissolved iron (mg L(^{-1}))</td>
<td>0.16 (0.06)</td>
<td>0.0-0.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pH</td>
<td>6.63 (0.17)</td>
<td>5.76-7.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conductivity (μS cm(^{-1}))</td>
<td>222 83 (16)</td>
<td>19-187</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 2. Capture probabilities of focal species (with 95% credible intervals) showing consistency between unaltered and dammed streams on the Cumberland Plateau

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Capture probability in unaltered streams</th>
<th>95% Credible interval</th>
<th>Capture probability below dams</th>
<th>95% Credible interval</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>Desmognathus conanti</em></td>
<td>0.134</td>
<td>(0.048, 0.354)</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>(&lt;0.001, 0.167)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Eurycea wilderae</em></td>
<td>0.663</td>
<td>(0.291, 0.792)</td>
<td>0.087</td>
<td>(0.008, 0.676)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Pseudotriton ruber</em></td>
<td>0.228</td>
<td>(0.222, 0.379)</td>
<td>0.126</td>
<td>(0.011, 0.395)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
degree of water permanence and maintained base flow (Poff et al., 1997). Providing consistent aquatic habitat less prone to seasonal droughts may create more reliable habitat for salamanders on the Cumberland Plateau (Arnwine et al., 2006). Dampening of flood pulses creates a more stable hydrologic system and reduces risks of downstream displacement (Barrett et al., 2010). Second, changes to the hydrologic regime and to seepage patterns from earthen dams will alter the fluvial geomorphology creating a wider, shallower channel (Brant, 2000). Because these channels are in canopy gaps formed by the dam and its impoundment, they may experience increased solar radiation and stream temperatures, which may ultimately increase salamander production and growth (Pough, 1980; Caissie, 2006; Sanuy et al., 2008). Increased light penetration to the stream may also increase autotrophic production stimulating production of salamander prey (Thorp and Delong, 2002; Lessard and Hayes, 2003; Cross et al., 2006; Julian et al., 2011), but preliminary data suggest that salamanders from below dams are of similar body condition to individuals from perennial streams (Colyar, unpublished data; Figure S1). Lastly, stream organisms including salamanders and some macroinvertebrates exhibit persistent, upstream movement biases (Lowe, 2003; Macneale et al., 2005; Cecala et al., 2009). As individuals move upstream, the dam impedes their movement forcing individuals to accumulate below dams (Spence and Hynes, 1971). At present, which of these mechanisms may be the cause of the patterns observed is unknown and may vary for different taxa.

The presence of small dams had species-specific influences that are probably a result of unique physiologies and life histories (Petranka, 1998). Increased water permanence, that is otherwise infrequent on top of the Cumberland Plateau could explain increased abundance of species with long larval stages that require water continuously for months or years, such as E. wilderae, which had the strongest response to dam presence (Petranka, 1998). Pseudotriton ruber is also known to have a multi-year larval period (Bruce, 1972; Semlitsch, 1983), yet this species was the least responsive to the presence of dams. Pseudotriton ruber also uses subterranean habitats for all life stages and therefore may be less reliant on surface...
water, particularly in a region well known for subterranean habitat (Miller et al., 2008; Niemiller et al., 2013). Conversely, *D. conanti* has a relatively short aquatic larval phase that suggests it may be capable of inhabiting more ephemeral streams, yet its abundance increased below dams (Juterbock, 1990; Petranka, 1998). We hypothesize that this pattern may best be explained by adult behaviour, as adult *D. conanti* prefer to occupy permanent stream edges where foraging opportunities may be more frequent relative to ephemeral stream channels with more variable microclimates (Hairston, 1987; Petranka, 1998; Bruce, 2007; Peterman et al., 2008). Adult distributions are unlikely to fully explain larval *E. wilderae* and *P. ruber* distributions because adults of these species are terrestrial for most of the year (Bruce, 1978; Petranka, 1998). Lastly, *Eurycea wilderae* exhibited the largest response to the presence of dams. Like *P. ruber*, *E. wilderae* have vascularized gills that assist them in extracting oxygen from low oxygen environments such as warm habitats below dams (Petranka, 1998). This physiological adaptation and lack of subterranean use may best explain the strong, positive response to dams by *E. wilderae*.

On the southern Cumberland Plateau, small impoundments have positive effects on stream salamander populations, but the mechanisms behind this pattern are unclear. It is recommended that future research examines the causes behind this pattern and determines whether it applies generally among taxa and geographical locations. Small dams on the Cumberland Plateau often occur in headwaters as opposed to impoundments in the middle reaches that may induce habitat and population fragmentation in other regions. Overall, natural and altered streams on the Cumberland Plateau harbour stream salamander densities 5–14 times lower than those observed in other ecoregions (Means and Travis, 2007; Milanovich, 2010; Cecala et al., 2013). Therefore, these data suggest that small impoundments may play an important role in maintenance of stream salamander populations in an ecoregion characterized by ephemeral streams. This supports conclusions by others that habitat protection for salamanders is complex and requires attention to important habitats that are infrequently located and represent a small proportion of available habitat (Romano et al., 2008; Diaz et al., 2015).

This study joins a growing body of literature indicating that small dams may play ecologically important roles for downstream communities (Jackson and Pringle, 2010; Gangloff, 2013). Although small dams may still impede the dispersal of migratory species (Watters, 1996; Hitt et al., 2012), they appear to support more diverse and healthier populations of mussels (Gangloff et al., 2011; Singer and Gangloff, 2011) and salamanders (present study) in downstream habitat compared with populations in unmanaged river or stream habitats. As ancillary benefits, small dams also inhibit the movement of aquatic invasive species and serve as a sink for environmental contaminants and toxins (Lemly et al., 1993; Jackson et al., 2005). As Gangloff (2013) recommends, a more nuanced view towards the environmental impacts of dams appears to be necessary. In some contexts, maintenance of small dams may in fact maintain biological diversity and success in riverine networks relative to intervention strategies such as dam removal (Sethi et al., 2004; Gangloff et al., 2011). For example, the small dams observed in this study were located above the seeps for these streams. In these instances, riverine migratory patterns remain uninterrupted, and the small dams may be considered to enhance habitat quality for salamanders via hydrologic stability that allows some species to occupy a broader range of habitats than would otherwise be available to them. Although large and small dams can cause environmental problems and conservation groups regularly support their removal, we encourage careful considerations of dam removal within the context of dam size, network position, and presence or absence of rare, migratory, or invasive species.

**ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

Chris Van de Ven facilitated site selection. John Palisano and two anonymous reviewers provided comments that improved this manuscript. Brock Colyar supplied supplementary data on salamander body condition. Funding for this project was provided by the Biology and Chemistry Departments at The University of the South, and a Yeatman Summer Fellowship to J.K.


Watters GT. 1996. Small dams as barriers to freshwater mussels (Bivalvia, Unionoida) and their hosts. *Biological Conservation* **75**: 79–85.

**SUPPORTING INFORMATION**

Additional supporting information may be found in the online version of this article at the publisher’s web site.